

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/876,937 06/16/97 WOODWARD D 16955DIVCONC **EXAMINER** HM12/0524 ROBERT J BARAN O SULLIVAN, P ALLERGAN INC **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 2525 DUPONT DRIVE IRVINE CA 92612-1599 1621 **DATE MAILED:** 05/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant (s)

08/876,937

Woodward et al.

Examiner

Peter O'Sullivan

Group Art Unit 1621



⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 6, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 26-45	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is is	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	No(s)
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Art Unit: 1621

- 1. Claims 26-46 are pending in this application. A new rejection is set forth below.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 26, 28-34 and 36-45 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for R1 as H, lower alkyl or a cation, does not reasonably provide enablement for applicants added groups. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 26-45 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. U.S. '383. Claims 26-45 of this application has been copied from U.S. Patent No. 5,510,383. Contra applicants' arguments, the disclosure of '567 is seen to be narrower than the present claims.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Art Unit: 1621

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 26-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop

 '383. Bishop et al. disclose compounds of general formula I to be useful in treating glaucoma and ocular hypertension. In said formula, R1 may be hydrogen, a cationic salt moiety, a pharmaceuticaly acceptable amine moiety or C1-C12 alkyl, cycloalkyl or aryl and R2 may be cloro or trifluoromethyl. The instant invention differs from the teaching of the cited reference in although generically disclosed, not all of the compounds are specifically exemplified in the reference. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of the cited references, to make other of applicants' compounds in view of compounds actually made in the Bishop et al. '383 reference and to expect them to be useful in the treatment of glaucoma and ocular hypertension.
- 8. Claim 46 is not rejected, but is subject to a possible interference.

Art Unit: 1621

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter O'Sullivan at telephone number (703) 308-4526.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200